

7-11-16

"4  
conditions"  
of Atomic,

What demand of Japanese military  
would have made it unthinkable\*  
to US to lose 500,000 - 1 million men  
(more than dying  
WWII KIA up to that!)

~~to~~ Terms of Japanese surrender?

No trials of military?

No occupation?

& some colonial lands?)

(Caproni Henry: how many US lost in  
invasion of Henry?  
(or, of SU?)

US and SU and to continue?

till Atomic

\* 1st NO invasion - announced  
+ B-29's  
+ Blockade till surrender!  
+ SU in Manchuria  
(+ Hokkaido?)



say against use of H-bomb

really, as Tennet (without SU?)

future → SU A

H

SU H

ICBMs

~~Admiral~~

DMs

Admiral

(regional war moves → WWI → II case)

end of civil civilization  
or urban-civilization

We cities are (cheap) targets, in war

(not, one at a time

as in early emphasis

or WWI

(not WWI

except "barriers"

trial runs)

Not just: multiple H-bomb attacks

(~~not~~ counters capable

9 if that + many

Race was close to inevitable

after 1945, (like WWI - after

WWI? close!

Defenses is strong → H-bomb)

Admiral...

SK...

M.E....



+Hurry  
made usual,  
personal

Enno, basig was make outs with

Niether — in Cries

and with a rationale that, by

1947, make it acceptable

at least, is some cries.

But Kelly thought, is all. Kelly make

SAC, Vice, Chief

Proportionate — of the Rule observed

Observation?

Hughes Khan? notes new...

V-1, V-2

(But: that by first time

Enno  
(writer?)

exp. H  
ICBM  
(A?)  
2) to US  
(b) to civil  
c) to "state"  
life

Life & got small

van Hippel

A got large yield

A for ICBM?

ICBM — accurate

new, CE?!

+ CM...

yes!

new new — nuclear!



~~JS~~ 7-8-12

by 'incendiarists'

US back cap. for ~~HTW~~ in Dec '42 (p. 1)

(original at, on March 9

Line OK on file 18-4  
1973

and some mothers, winters as by '85:

If these motions were slow enough to fixate  
(as they were, for Parulidae) in  $\text{O}_2$ ,  
why not  $\text{Ar}_2$ ?

(were they?)

"Free World"

(line 2 starts: SVN, NVN

USAF/Strm

Don't want to test

attends to!

мор UK

Even about one

in 1890  
in 1891

But what if the bird has laid, internally,  
longer than 7 days -

Th, a deviation to the word!

Still maintain to wear thos?

less, meeting, on a city

US/SC attorney:

or  $\mu_{\text{res}} (\text{black})$

on Henry :

(week? End time. as tough? Marshall Plan

5 of home East, one West.

more, so that  
strategies  
does

(standing and heart race?)  
→ Doc)



With News, A & H

← national description  
large-scale killing, at a key distance,  
is cheap & easy to deliver

if you have a small horror  
big  
story  
or efficiency information  
(from)

May continue with a small outline

can do to US

what only US & UK could do in '45  
(now to US)

= "Jarrow"

Big Jarrow is cheap & easy if ...  
& non-detractable:

We highlighted Big Jarrow

from '42/'45 to present

With undrafted caps (starting with 2, 1 set)  
"Big Conscience points"  
(Jarrow, '45!)

But we have totally undrafted  
conscience — not prop, thrust,  
Nicks



U+V

7-14-16

Discussion on if: consolidation of fundamentals?

- not total to USAF!

not "too many civ cons"

low-level - VS cas-

not: 1 civ cons.

basically

- directed to Skinsan

"goals"

But after Tokyo: FOR?

Skinsan?

CNO?

Krohn / Marshall / Brito

"No more"!

NYT?

not a one-off thing!

or 2-3, like A-bombs

Search then offered? What if

(then - after <sup>other</sup> NYT / Tokyo)

(yes -

but after prelude A\*

(and A really can

contribute to survival?

(even if it will make it

(even / when?)

critical?

Yes will be SU entry!

Obvious!



H+N

7-14-16

JJ ~~Good~~ - ~~was~~ decision

No decision to be made CWO. FOR '43?

no process

no discussion

no writing (if ready before I turn)

Contrary to "Priority"; Cons; was close to turn; difficult  
means/J. considerations; turn; A. of turn was not to.

What level of cons. would be too low to  
justify Bank (see Harris).

If Harris had A. bank?

If Bank before Harris "turn"?

When? (not Kyoto - decision  
Tokyo? (3d?!))

When? Within days of machines

When to offer Explorer

When to announce SU?

J's: when to accept turn?

(What if: SU next is till 15th?)

Effect on Tokyo?

on turn?!)

3d Bank?



"Decision":

Awful thing? the  
Machy

(Maybe Strasser, like Frank / Spindler, had some  
qualms about dropping Bomb — saw a decision

As if: "only last resort"; only if "necessary"  
only to save X US, (Y Japanese lives (any conditions?)  
— no cost to not using Bomb" (cost; save <sup>US lives</sup> any  
US lives  
(~~about~~ was <sup>not</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>to</sup> ~~intermediate~~ SC)

Was there a reason (Hear? / SU) whether  
whether first would not have dropped?  
1000 US lives?

Post-office: is R with only SCBMs  
or too formidable "adversary"  
then with 1000 ICBMs?

If they dismantled ICBMs, what would  
even ICBMs target?



7-14-16

H + N

Need to save  
1 M US bills  
to finally kill  
100,000 Japanese?

How?

Reverse -

kill 100,000 day.  
criss, to save  
10,000 US  
or 1000 US?



7-14-K

see Francis

see Harris in  
Harris' class

Apples, Apples...

train-off?

Do "coloured"

his mother not  
all? Every?

Merchandise - always  
brought to care...



7-14-66

justification

- avoid losing  
to Huang

- avoid from  
A-bomb

(even if not  
a mess to Huang  
writers)

? Shenton was in  
Huang? save US  
lives? (Szyland)  
IF NO CERNY A-BOMB



7-14-16

(What if England  
knew in fall-44  
(Rottblatt - Geschraft)

NO GERMAN

A-BOMB?

Would he have used  
Bach?

Wouldn't he have  
French-type equipment  
then, ~~some~~ 6 months  
later?



7-14-72

W&V may have  
presented SU role  
is counterpart of  
Hokkaido  
Horse  
Tokyo

- Does this justify  
100-300k pop. in  
line?

What were those  
wrote to USG?

(to 1st-Defense Aug 7?  
"Kido")



6-29-16

Gino not "wil. tempt"

City was obliterated  
to induce (moral\*)

nationalism

(best) (not "no")

(Stinson)

(terrorism)

("parages")

\* Moral as Register

the - no discrimination

(right/road/transition)

housing (not, war-crime)

not, "Korbertus" housing



necessary ("end  
over")  
"to mirror numbers  
as far as possible  
by any means  
over, to that end  
with as low  
loss of (our) life  
as possible  
(no. intervention)

public policy: persons  
will not number  
(the not not the "ones")

no - they were not  
"physical & avoid"  
of A-bomb  
How could it  
be?

our bomb?  
so what?  
10 bombs?

(100, geo)



6-18-10

Was Ernst  
justified?

Now asked:  
on Ernst  
+ Reinisch  
(by Ernst?)  
(no.)